

1 Tyler R. Andrews (NV State Bar No. 9499)
andrewst@gtlaw.com
2 GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP
10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Suite 600
3 Las Vegas, NV 89135
Telephone: (702) 792-3773
4 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
Co-Counsel for Defendant/Counterclaimant

5 Rob L. Phillips (NV State Bar No. 175354)
rob.phillips@fisherbroyles.com
6 FISHER BROYLES
5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1800
7 Los Angeles, CA 90036
8 *Co-Counsel for Defendant/Counterclaimant*

9
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
11 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12 INAG, INC., a Nevada corporation,

13 and

14 MARK H. JONES and SHERYLE L.
15 JONES as Trustees of the Mark
Hamilton Jones and Sheryle Lynn Jones
16 Family Trust U/A/D November 7, 2013,

17 Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants,

18 v.

19 RICAR, INC., a Nevada corporation,

20 Defendant/Counterclaimant.
21

Case No.: 2:16-cv-00722-RFB-EJY

[Consolidated with Case No. 2:16-cv-01282-
RCJ-CWH]

[Assigned to Hon. Richard F. Boulware, II]

**DEFENDANT/COUNTERCLAIMANT,
RICAR, INC.'S STIPULATION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH
TO FILE ITS REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
[ECF NO. 116]**

FIRST REQUEST

22
23 Defendant/Counterclaimant ("Richar") and Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants INAG, Inc. and
24 Mark H. Jones and Sheryle L. Jones as Trustees of the Mark Hamilton Jones and Sheryle Lynn
25 Jones Family Trust U/A/D November 7, 2013 (collectively "INAG"), by and through their
26 attorneys, and pursuant to Local Rule IA 6-1, hereby stipulate to a seven (7) day extension of time
27

1 for Richar to file its Reply in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement
2 and Invalidity (ECF No. 116 “Motion”).

3 Richar filed its Motion on January 13, 2021 (ECF No. 116). Per Order dated January 16,
4 2021 (ECF No. 119), Plaintiffs filed their response on February 10, 2021 (ECF No. 120). Richar’s
5 current Reply would be due on February 24, 2021. The extension sets a new response deadline
6 one week later, on March 3, 2021.

7 This is the first stipulation and requested Order regarding an extension of time to submit
8 its Reply in support of the Motion. The extension is necessary due to certain conflicting deadlines
9 and commitments of Richar’s counsel. The parties’ request is brought for the good cause shown
10 and is not sought for purpose of delay.

11 IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.

12 DATED: February 23, 2021

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

13
14 By: /s/ Tyler R. Andrews
Tyler R. Andrews
15 Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant

16 DATED: February 23, 2021

DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC

17
18 By: /s/ Franklin M. Smith
Franklin M. Smith
19 Attorneys for Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 DATED: February 24, 2021.

22
23 
24 Hon. Richard F. Boulware, II
United States District Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 23, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was filed and served via the United States District Court's ECF System to the person listed below:

Rob Phillips, Esq. rob.phillips@fisherbroyles.com

John L. Krieger, Esq. jkrieger@dickinson-wright.com, kcooper@dickinson-wright.com, kkoehm@dickinson-wright.com, lstewart@dickinson-wright.com

John S. Artz, Esq. jsartz@dickinsonwright.com

Franklin M. Smith, Esq. FSmith@dickinson-wright.com

Ariana D. Pellegrino, Esq. APellegrino@dickinsonwright.com

/s/ Tyler R. Andrews

An employee of Greenberg Traurig, LLP